In Austronesian "voice system" languages:

- a. Each clause has one **subject** (nominative);
- b. Verbal morphology reflects the choice of subject. (AV = Actor Voice, PV = Patient Voice, ...)
- c. Only the subject can be A'-extracted. (Keenan & Comrie 1977, Schachter and Otanes, 1972; Aldridge, 2004; Rackowski and Richards, 2005, a.o.)

Q: Why is A'-extraction limited to the subject?

A'-extraction attracts the closest DP (Aldridge 2004, 2017) and subjects are (normally) highest.

We present novel evidence for this view from **Bikol** (Central Philippines). Our data considers **clefting** and **two types of topics** in Bikol, and their interaction.

(We only consider Actor Voice and Patient Voice core argument DPs here.)

Local clefting

Local clefting from a Patient Voice clause: (1)

- G<in>adan su lalaki ni Andrew. a. PV-kill NOM man GEN Andrew 'Andrew killed the man.'
- b. Su lalaki su [g<in>adan ___ ni A.]. NOM man NOM PV-kill GEN A. 'It's the man that Andrew killed.'
- A. su [g<in>adan su lalaki ___]. c. * Si/ni NOM/GEN A. NOM PV-kill NOM man Intended: 'It's Andrew that killed the man.'

Local clefting from an Actor Voice clause: (2)

- Nag-gadan si Andrew ning eskwela. a. NOM Andrew GEN student AV-kill 'Andrew killed a student.'
- Si A. su [nag-gadan ___ ning eskwela]. b. NOM A. NOM AV-kill GEN student 'It's Andrew that killed a student.'
- c. * Su/ning eskwela su [nag-gadan si A. __]. NOM/GEN student NOM AV-kill NOM A. Intended: 'It's the/a student that A. killed.'

→ Only the subject can be clefted, as expected.

Note: Local clefting must have a **gap**, not a pronoun.

Understanding the Austronesian subject-only extraction restriction: Evidence from Bikol topicalization



Topics from a Patient Voice clause:

- Su lalaki (,)[g<in>adan(=siya) ni Andrew]. PV-kill NOM.3sg GEN Andrew NOMman
- Si Andrew (,) [g<in>adan (=niya) su lalaki]. NOM Andrew PV-kill GEN.3sg NOM man 'Andrew killed the man.'

(4) **Topics from an Actor Voice clause:**

- a. Si Andrew (,) [nag-gadan (**=siya**) ning eskwela]. NOM Andrew AV-kill NOM.3sg GEN student
- Su eskwela(,)[nag-gadan si Andrew *****(**sainya**)]. NOM student AV-kill NOM Andrew DAT.3SG 'Andrew killed a/the student.'

\rightarrow Topicalization and HTLD are *not* strictly subject-oriented:

- Topicalization targets subjects and non-subject agents.
- HTLD can target *any DP argument*.

Note for (4b): Specific non-subject themes are in dative case.

Proposal

The subject moves to outer Spec, vP in non-Actor Voices:



(Aldridge 2004, 2008, Rackowski & Richards 2005, Erlewine & Levin 2018, a.o.)

- Clefting and topicalization involve different probes:
 - **Clefting uses [PROBE:D]**, attracting the closest (highest) DP: always the subject in local clauses (Aldridge 2004, 2017).

• **Topicalization uses [PROBE:TOP]**, a more articulated probe. All probing is subject to **Phase Impenetrability**, explaining topicalization targeting non-subject agents (at the phase edge) but not non-subject themes. Ask us about nominative case on topics!

Hanging-topic left dislocation does not involve movement. (See paper for island sensitivity data.)

Long-distance clefting

 \rightarrow Long-distance clefting differs from local clefting (1–2) in **two ways**:

- 2. Long-distance clefts are **not strictly subject-oriented**.
- Long-distance subject cleft (gap or pronoun): (5) NOM A. NOM PV-report GEN radio that PV-kill It's Andrew_i that the radio reported that the man killed t/him_i.
- Long-distance non-subject agent cleft (gap or pronoun): (6) SI NOM A. NOM PV-report GEN radio that PV-kill 'It's Andrew_i that the radio reported that *t*/he_i killed the man.'
- Long-distance non-subject theme cleft (pronoun only): (7) NOM A. NOM PV-report GEN radio that AV-kill

Summary and lessons

local cleft (gap)

- local topicalization (gap)
- local HTLD (pronoun)
- long-distance clefting (gap)
- long-distance clefting (pronoun)
- → Long-distance clefting behaves differently because **embedded** topicalization and HTLD can *feed* clefting.
- (8) CLEFT=[PROBE:D] ... PV-V ... [$_{CP}$ DP $_{topic,i}$... V subject t/pro_i Attract

 - Rather, it always attracts the *closest* (highest) DP.

Read the paper: Erlewine & Lim 2018 on LingBuzz



1. Long-distance clefts can have a corresponding gap or a **pronoun**.

Si A. su [pig-balita ning radyo [_{CP} na g<in>adan (**=siya**) kaso lalaki. NOM.3sgGEN man

A. su [pig-balita ning radyo [_{CP} na g<in>adan (**=niya**) su lalaki. GEN.3sg NOM man

Si A. su [pig-balita ning radyo [_{CP} na nag-gadan su lalaki *(sainya). NOM man DAT.3sg 'It's Andrew_i that the radio reported that the man killed $t/^{ok}him_i$.'

subject	non-subj ag	non-subj th
(1b, 2b)	X (1c)	X (2c)
O (3a, 4a)	(3b)	X (4b)
(3a, 4a)	(3b)	(4b)
O (5)	O (6)	×(7)
) (5)	O (6)	(7)

An embedded (moved or hanging) topic is highest in the lower clause:

Clefting — which manifests the subject-only extraction restriction in simple examples (1–2) — is *not* actually "subject-oriented."

The Austronesian subject-only extraction restriction reflects syntactic **locality (Attract Closest),** rather than any restriction on thematic roles.