Auxiliaries and head movement

1 Tense and the main verb

Two types of tense morphology: *bound* or *free*.

English present and past tense morphology are bound, and shows up on the verb. The verb can show ϕ -agreement.

- (1) a. John studies the clarinet.
 - b. I study the clarinet.
- (2) a. John studi-ed the clarinet.
 - b. We studi-ed the clarinet.

The English future is a free morpheme, *will*. When *will* is used, the verb no longer shows subject agreement; it must be a *nonfinite* form, like *be*.

(3) John will be/*is a student.

In French, present and future morphology appears on the verb, which shows subject ϕ -agreement, but the past tense uses a free morpheme 'have' which shows agreement and a special PAST form of the verb.

- (4) a. Jean manger-a des pommes. Jean eat-fut.3sg some apples
 - b. Je manger-ai des pommes. I eat-fut.1sg some apples
- (5) a. Tu as mangé des pommes. you have.2sg eat-PAST some apples
 - b. Nous avons mangé des pommes. we have.1pl eat-PAST some apples

Consider the position of adverbs in tenses which use auxiliaries:

- (6) John will often eat apples.
- (7) Jean a <u>souvent mangé des</u> pommes. Jean have.3sg often eat-PAST some apples

Let's assume such adverbs are adjoined to *v*P. If the auxiliary is pronounced at T and the verb in *v*P, this word order is explained in both languages.

Q: How does the tense and the main verb get pronounced together as one word, for example in the English past or present or French future or present? Two options:

(Somehow) pronounce tense low on v/V: (Somehow) pronounce the verb high with T:





The answer in English and French seem to be different!

- (8) John (often) ate/eats (*often) apples.
- (9) Jean (*souvent) manger-a/mange (souvent) des pommes. Jean often eat-fut.3sg/eat-present.3sg often some apples

We say that French has *V-to-T* movement, but English main verbs do not.

In all of these cases, the verb needs information about tense — or more generally, *inflection* — which we encode as [uInfl: __]. The two options above correspond to different operations:

(10) **Agree**(α , β ; F) (read: ' α and β agree in F'; see Adger p. 168)

For any syntactic objects α and β with matching feature F, where α c-commands β :

a. let the value of F on α and the value of F on β be equal;

b. if F is uninterpretable on α or β , check the feature (let uF = uF).

(11) $Move_{head}(X, Y)$

(read: 'Y head-moves to X')

If Y is a head with feature F, X a head with a matching feature F, and X c-commands Y, and F is a strong inflectional feature on either Y or X, then

- a. check the strong features F* on X and/or Y: F*;
- b. mark Y as as deleted (-Y); and
- c. replace X with $\begin{array}{c} X \\ Y \\ Y \end{array}$, which should be pronounced together as a word.

We might say that English T has a matching [Infl:...] feature which can value v via Agree, but French T has [Infl*:...] which triggers head-movement. In general, however, we will not worry about explaining exactly where and when head-movement occurs in this class.

We now also have an operation for V-to-v movement in English: Move_{head} motivated by [uV*] on v.

2 More auxiliaries in English

(12) **Some auxiliaries in English:**¹

- a. Han *might* reconsider.
- b. Darth *will* die.
- c. Leia *has* written a message.
- d. Somebody *is* shooting at us.
- e. The Falcon *could have* escaped if the engine *had* worked.
- f. Luke *has been* training in the Dagobah system.

Each auxiliary requires a certain kind of verb to follow:

- (13) modal + infinitive
- (14) perfect have + -en
- (15) progressive be + -ing

We can put these elements together, but only in a certain order:

(16) Lando may have been making a deal.

Adger suggests putting this order in the Hierarchy of Projections:

(17) Hierarchy of Projections (modified, to be modified again):
T > (Perf) > (Prog) > v > V

We assume modals are in T, but why not add a separate head for this too? Because modals are systematically absent in *nonfinite clauses*:

- (18) John wants to {*can/be able to} fly.
- (19) I expect Mary to {*might/maybe} come tonight.

We analyze the morpheme *to* itself as a version of T, explaining the *complementary distribution* with modals and (past, present, future) tense.

Nonfinite clauses can, however, include perfects and progressives:

- (20) I expected Susan to *have* called by now.
- (21) I expected Kevin to *be* writing right now.

¹Some data here from a handout by Jason Merchant.

3 Negation

The negation *not* in English introduces a puzzle:

(22) a. Han might *not* reconsider.

- b. Leia has *not* written a message.
- c. The Falcon is *not* working.
- d. Lando may *not* have been making a deal.

Q: What's the generalization for the position of negation? **A:** There's always one auxiliary before the negation *not*.

(23) Hierarchy of Projections (modified): (Adger, p. 195) T > (Neg) > (Perf) > (Prog) > v > V

Adger's solution: Neg is a head. Always make sure one auxiliary to move to T, if T is not a free morpheme.²

If we do not have an auxiliary, we have a problem:

- (24) * John not eats/ate a sandwich.
- (25) John does not eats/ate a sandwich.

Main verbs in English are not able to move to T, even though auxiliaries are. When negation intervenes between T and a main verb, the Agree relation between T and v is broken.³ We instead pronounce T by itself, using a version of the verb *do*. This is called *do-support*.

Compare this to English *never* which is simply an adverb and does not interact with auxiliaries and tenses:

(26) John never eats/ate a sandwich.

²In class, I will not worry about how exactly this works. See chapter 5 for details. ³See Adger section 5.5, and the Pronouncing Tense Rule.